ORDER ~ 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL ALLEN BRIGGS,

Defendant.

NO. CR-07-2063-LRS-2

ORDER DECLARING MISTRIAL AND SETTING NEW TRIAL DATE AND PRETRIAL CONFERENCE DATE

This matter came on for jury trial on June 23, 2008, and concluded on June 24, 2008 when the Court declared a mistrial on **Counts 1 and 2** of the **Indictment** (Ct. Rec. 39). The government was represented by Assistant United States Attorney James P. Hagarty. The Defendant, Michael Allen Briggs, was present and represented by Timothy D. Cotterell, appointed counsel. This Order is entered to memorialize the oral rulings of the Court.

On June 24, 2008, the jury in the above-captioned case sent a note to the Court, indicating that they were unable to reach a unanimous verdict. Following receipt of the note, the Court examined the jury, in the presence of counsel, and the Defendant and gave both counsel the opportunity to ask additional questions of the jury (neither elected to do so) before declaring a mistrial. The Court

concluded that the jury was hopelessly deadlocked and that further deliberation would not assist in reaching a unanimous verdict.

Accordingly, the Court declared a mistrial and discharged the jury without objection from either the United States or the Defendant on June 24, 2008. The United States requested that a new trial date be set. Both counsel for the United States and the Defendant, however, asked that the date of retrial be delayed until late September to accommodate preparation and trial schedule needs. The Court noted of record that the new date fell beyond the normal speedy trial deadlines and discussed this fact with the Defendant and counsel before accepting a written, signed waiver from the Defendant concerning speedy trial rights for the anticipated delay incident to the new trial setting.

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Court declares a **MISTRIAL** on Counts 1 and 2 of the Indictment (Ct. Rec. 39).
- 2. Defendant's new speedy trial interval on Counts 1 and 2 of the Indictment shall begin with the entry of this Order memorializing the oral ruling of the Court. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(e) (stating trial to "commence within seventy days from the date the action occasioning the retrial becomes final"); United States v. Crooks, 804 F.2d 1441 (9th Cir. 1986) (holding under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(e) that "district court's order, not the dismissal of the jury, constituted the action occasioning the new trial"). Defendant signed a waiver of speedy trial rights in open court. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h), and for reasons placed of record by both counsel and acknowledged by the

Defendant, the Court DECLARES EXCLUDABLE from Speedy Trial Act calculations the period from June 25, 2008, the date the new speedy trial interval begins, through September 22, 2008, the new date of the trial.

3. A pretrial conference is SET for September 11, 2008 at 11:00 a.m. in Yakima, Washington.

Defendant's NEW TRIAL on Counts 1 and 2 of the Indictment is

- set for September 22, 2008 at 9:00 a.m. in Yakima, Washington. Counsel shall meet with the Court in Chambers at 8:30 a.m. on the day of trial. Any motions will be addressed at a pretrial conference in open court at 8:30 a.m.
- 5. The Court RESERVED ruling on Defendant's Motion for Acquittal, pursuant to Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule The Court will decide the motion after briefing is received 29(b). from the parties. Such briefing shall be filed on or before July 24, 2008.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is directed to enter this order and to provide copies to all counsel, the U.S. Marshal Service, and the Jury Administrator.

DATED this 26th day of June, 2008.

s/Lonny R. Suko LONNY R. SUKO

United States District Judge

26